

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,431	12/15/2003	Randall Woods	34024	3428	
33357 75	33357 7590 10/24/2005		EXAMINER		
ADVANCED MEDICAL OPTICS, INC.			WILLSE, DAVID H		
	ANDREW PLACE A, CA 92705		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<i></i>			3738		
			D	DATE MAILED, 10/24/2005	

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/736,431	WOODS, RANDALL		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Dave Willse	3738		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
WHI0 - Exte afte - If N0 - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mail ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDOI	ON. timely filed on the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			·		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	<u>August 2005</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	cion of Claims				
5)[Claim(s) 2,3 and 6-42 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-11,17-35,40 and Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2,3,12-16,36-39 and 42 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	41 is/are withdrawn from conside	ration.		
Applicat	ion Papers		•		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examire The drawing(s) filed on <u>December 15, 2003</u> , is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examire Theorem 1.	s/are: a) \square accepted or b) \square objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa			
3) X Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/7/05; 3/12/04</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 3738

In the Information Disclosure Statement of March 12, 2004, the copy of WO 02/19949 is missing page 22, but the reference has nonetheless been considered. (The Applicant need not provide a copy of the missing page.)

Claims 6-11, 18, and 21-35 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on August 22, 2005. It is noted that claims 6-11 and 18 depend from canceled claim 1. Claims 17, 19, 20, 40, and 41 are also withdrawn from further consideration because there is no evidence from the original disclosure that elected Species I possesses the elements set forth in the further limitations of said claims.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 8, line 19, "g" should be replaced by --b--. In claim 3, line 2, "silicone" is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3, 12, 16, 36, 37, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Payer, CH 681 687 A5: Derwent abstract; Figures 1-3. Regarding claim 36, 42,

Application/Control Number: 10/736,431 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

and others, the optic shape, and hence the optic thickness, can be altered in response to changing forces applied to the optic via the force transmission part during the accommodation process (first five lines of the English abstract). Regarding claim 16, the Payer lens is *capable* of being radially compressively loaded into an appropriately sized lens capsule such that the optic "initial" thickness can decrease in response to ciliary body contraction, whether or not such was the intent.

Claims 13-15, 38, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Payer, CH 681 687 A5. A second thickness being at least about 1.1 times greater than an initial thickness would have been obvious from the relatively low refractive indices associated with hydrogel and silicone materials and from the need to provide adequate accommodation for a diversity of patients.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US 4,994,083:

figures; column 3, lines 45-46;

EP 0 766 540 B1:

Derwent abstract; drawings, especially the phantom lines in

Figure 2.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse whose telephone number is 571-272-4762. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Dave Willse

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3738